

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/600,566	10/16/00	PFLAUM		Z	2260/103	
Г	002101		HM12/1024	\neg		EXAMINER	
		SUNSTEIN L			SAUCIER,S		
	125 SUMMER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	BOSTON MA	02110-1618			1651	6	
					DATE MAILED:		
						10/24/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/600,566 Applicaiπ(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Pflaum et al.

	Sandra Saucier	1651	
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh et with the corre	spondence add	ress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MON	ITH(S) FROM	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replacement 		•	
 be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	S from the mailing	8 133)
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expa	cept for formal matters, prosecution	on as to the me	rits is
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>24-46</u>		is/are pend	ling in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdra	awn from considera
5)			
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>24-46</u>			
7)			
8) Claims			
Application Papers	•		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.		
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		o) disapprove	d
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner		-) <u>-</u>	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) ☑ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	een received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be			
3. 区 Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the application from the International Bureau (ments have been received in this PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	National Stage	
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce			
14) \square Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	only under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
attachment(s)			
	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)	
7) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)1	20) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/600566 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 24-46 are pending and are considered on the merits.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

No drawings have been found which were submitted with the original papers; however, the specification refers to drawings under "Detailed Description. All references to Drawings should be canceled.

A new substitute specification should be submitted because of incorrect top margins which have resulted in punching through the text and loss of printed matter at the top of the pages in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 INDEFINITE

Claims 24-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 24 recites in the last step, "from an organic solvent having limited miscibility or solubility with water". However, "limited" is a term of reference without an adequate point from which to compare the "limits" of solubility or miscibility.

Claims 36 and 40 use the same phrase "limited miscibility or solubility".

Claims 24 and 40 also recite that a "water-soluble organic solvent" is used. Please note that, strictly speaking, all organic solvents have some solubility in water. Thus, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be ascertained.

Insertion of the list of solvents on page 7 or the limits of solubility for both "water soluble" and "limited solubility in water" would overcome this rejection.

Art Unit: 1651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent, (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States, (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 40-42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by US 4,319,039 [AB].

The claims are directed to a process for purifying HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors comprising crystallizing from a water-soluble organic solvent and from an organic solvent which has limited solubility in water.

The references are relied upon as explained below.

US 4,319,039 discloses a process for purification of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors comprising crystallizing the ammonium salt of an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor from a mixture of 50% chloroform, methanol, ammonium hydroxide (80:20:2)/ 50% ether and then recrystallizing from ethanol to yield greater than 90% purity. Alternatively, the ammonium salt of an HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor is dissolved in toluene and crystallized, then recrystallized from ethanol (col. 13, l. 22-45). Please note that the term, greater than 90% purity encompasses the range from 90.1% to 100% which is within applicant's claimed range.

To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 1651. The supervisor for 1651 is M. Wityshyn, (703) 308-4743. The normal work schedule for Examiner Saucier is 8:30AM to 6:00PM Tuesday-Friday and every other Monday.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Saucier whose telephone

Art Unit: 1651

number is (703) 308-1084. Status inquiries must be directed to the Customer Service Desk at (703) 308-0197 or (703)-308-0198. The number of the Fax Center for the faxing of papers is (703) 308-2742 or (703) 305-3592.

Sandra Saucier Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651

October 10, 2001